

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. Am-0002	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 12 August 2004	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable) KNMD013002A1
6. ISSUED BY U.S. Army Engineer District, Honolulu Corps of Engineers, Building 230 ATTN: CEPOH-CT-C (Joy Sakamoto) Fort Shafter, Hawaii 96858-5440		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)	

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)	(√)	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. W9128A-04-R-0014
	X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 30 July 2004
		10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDER NO.
		10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)
CODE	FACILITY CODE	

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is extended, is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:

(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

(√)	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not, is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

FY04 MILCON Project No. KNMD013002A1, Upgrade Electrical Distribution System, Phase 1/5, Hickam Air Force Base, Oahu, Hawaii

(Continued on Page 2.)

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)	16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED
	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)
	16C. DATE SIGNED

1. **CHANGES TO THE SOLICITATION.** Attached hereto are new and revised pages to specifications. Revised pages replace like-numbered pages. The revision mark “(Am-0002)” is shown on each new and revised page.

a. **DELETED PAGES.** The following pages are deleted from the specifications:

Section 00800, Pages 00800.A-1 and 00800.A-2

b. **NEW PAGES.** The following pages are added to the specifications:

Section 00800, Pages 00800.A-1 and 00800.A-2

Section 00900, Pages 00900.A-1 and 00900.A-2

2. **REVISIONS TO THE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS.** **DELETED PAGES.** The following pages are deleted from the specification: Attachment #8, List of Graphics, Title page and Document 00102 Pages 1 and 2

3. **CHANGES TO DRAWINGS** The following revised drawings replace like-numbered drawings and are issued herewith:

<u>REV</u>	<u>RING NO.</u>	<u>DRAWING NO.</u>	<u>SHEET NO.</u>	<u>LTR</u>	<u>REVISION DATE</u>
	002	812-42-05		G-102	a 08/03/04
	010	812-42-05		E-002	a 08/03/04
	015	812-42-05		E-104	a 08/03/04
	016	812-42-05		E-105	a 08/03/04
	017	812-42-05		E-106	a 08/03/04
	018	812-42-05		E-107	a 08/03/04
	019	812-42-05		E-108	a 08/03/04
	020	812-42-05		E-109	a 08/03/04
	021	812-42-05		E-110	a 08/03/04
	022	812-42-05		E-111	a 08/03/04
	023	812-42-05		E-112	a 08/03/04
	024	812-42-05		E-113	a 08/03/04
	025	812-42-05		E-114	a 08/03/04
	026	812-42-05		E-115	a 08/03/04

4. The proposal closing date of AUGUST 30, 2004, 2:00 P.M., Hawaiian Standard Time, remains unchanged.

LIST OF DRAWINGS
DRAWINGS DATED: AUGUST 2004

RING NO.	DRAWING NO.	SHT NO.	TITLE
FY04 MCP PN KNMD 01-03002A1			
UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM, PH. 1			
HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, OAHU, HAWAII			
001	812-42-05	G-101	PROJECT TITLE, VICINITY MAP, LOCATION MAP
002	812-42-05	G-102	SCHEDULE OF DRAWINGS AND PROJECT SCHEDULE
003	812-42-05	C-101	NEW MAMALA SUBSTATION SITE PLAN
004	812-42-05	S-101	STRUCTURAL PLANS AND SECTIONS
005	812-42-05	A-101	FLOOR PLAN, REFELCTED CEILING PLAN, ROOF PLAN AND SITE PLAN
006	812-42-05	A-201	BUILDING SECTION AND INTERIOR ELEVATIONS
007	812-42-05	A-202	EXTERIOR ELEVATION
008	811-42-05	A-501	DOOR, LOUVER, & FINISH SCHEDULE AND DETAIL
009	812-42-05	E-001	ELECTRICAL SYMBOLS AND NOTES
010	812-42-05	E-002	ABBREVIATIONS
011	812-42-05	E-003	HECO NOTES
012	812-42-05	E-101	OVERALL SITE ELECTRICAL PLAN
013	812-42-05	E-102	PARTIAL SITE ELECTRICAL PLAN "A"
014	812-42-05	E-103	PARTIAL SITE ELECTRICAL PLAN "B"
015	812-42-05	E-104	PARTIAL SITE ELECTRICAL PLAN "C"
016	812-42-05	E-105	PARTIAL SITE ELECTRICAL PLAN "D"
017	812-42-05	E-106	PARTIAL SITE ELECTRICAL PLAN "E"
018	812-42-05	E-107	PARTIAL SITE ELECTRICAL PLAN "F"
019	812-42-05	E-108	PARTIAL SITE ELECTRICAL PLAN "G"
020	812-42-05	E-109	PARTIAL SITE ELECTRICAL PLAN "H"
021	812-42-05	E-110	PARTIAL SITE ELECTRICAL PLAN "I"
022	812-42-05	E-111	PARTIAL SITE ELECTRICAL PLAN "J"
023	812-42-05	E-112	PARTIAL SITE ELECTRICAL PLAN "K"
024	812-42-05	E-113	PARTIAL SITE ELECTRICAL PLAN "L"
025	812-42-05	E-114	PARTIAL SITE ELECTRICAL PLAN "M"
026	812-42-05	E-115	PARTIAL SITE ELECTRICAL PLAN "N"
027	812-42-05	E-116	BASE PLAN PART A
028	812-42-05	E-117	BASE PLAN PART B
029	812-42-05	E-401	OVERALL SUBSTATION PLAN
030	812-42-05	E-402	SUBSTATION ELECTRIC AND LIGHTING PLANS
031	812-42-05	E-403	SUBSTATION GROUNDING AND LIGHTING PLANS
032	812-42-05	E-501	SWITCHGEAR DETAILS
033	812-42-05	E-502	DUCT SECTION DETAILS
034	812-42-05	E-503	ELECTRICAL DETAILS
035	812-42-05	E-504	1230 X 1830 (4' X 6') MANHOLE DETAIL
036	812-42-05	E-505	1830 X 3350 (6' X 11') MANHOLE DETAIL
037	812-42-05	E-506	1830 X 4270 (6' X 14') MANHOLE DETAIL
038	812-42-05	E-601	ONE-LINE DIAGRAM PART "A"
039	812-42-05	E-602	ONE-LINE DIAGRAM PART "B"
040	812-42-05	E-603	SWITCHGEAR "MA" ONE-LINE DIAGRAM
041	812-42-05	E-604	SWITCHGEAR "MB" ONE-LINE DIAGRAM
042	812-42-05	E-605	11.5 KV MANHOLE DIAGRAM PART "A"

043	812-42-05	E-606	11.5 KV	MANHOLE	DIAGRAM	PART	"B"
044	812-42-05	E-607		MANHOLE	DEVELOPMENT	DIAGRAMS	
045	812-42-05	E-608		MANHOLE	DEVELOPMENT	DIAGRAMS	
046	812-42-05	E-609		MANHOLE	DEVELOPMENT	DIAGRAMS	
047	812-42-05	E-610		MANHOLE	DEVELOPMENT	DIAGRAMS	
048	812-42-05	E-611		MANHOLE	DEVELOPMENT	DIAGRAMS	

SECTION 00900
RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS
Submitted by Planholders
Request for Proposal No. W9128A-04-R-0014

Q1. Section 2.5.2 Past Experience: "Only experience for the Offeror considered relevant to this contract will be considered."
QUESTION: If the Offeror was the managing partner of a Joint Venture company that performed work relevant to this solicitation can this work experience be considered as part of the Offeror's Past Experience?

A1. The experience of a Joint Venture (JV) partnership would not be considered applicable to you as a stand-alone company. Please refer to the last paragraph of 2.5.2 Factor 1, Past Experience that states in part: "If an Offeror is submitting a proposal as a joint venture, only the joint venture's experience will be considered. Each joint venture partner's experience will not be considered separately."

The reverse inference of this paragraph is applicable. By the implementation of this paragraph, the experience to which you refer would only be considered if this same JV were submitting a proposal. The JV is a separate company whose experience would not be credited to the individual companies.

Q2. If the Offeror teams with a sole source subcontractor under a formal teaming agreement, can the subcontractor's experience be considered under the Past Experience factor?

A2. No. Please see response to question 1. This would be viewed in the same way a JV would be viewed.

Q3. Section 2.5.1 and 2.5.2 relate to past experience of the offeror. We respectfully request that the language in this section be modified to allow the past experience of major subcontractors to be used in the offeror's proposal as well.

A3. A major subcontractor's experience would not be credited to the offeror/prime contractor's past experience.

Q4. Section 2.5.2.1 Evaluation Standards:

In the definition of Acceptable it states that an offeror must demonstrate experience in all (7) listed characteristics.

In the definition of Unacceptable, it states that an offeror has not demonstrated experience on at least one of the characteristics.

In Section 2.5.1 Relevant Contracts it states that relevant contracts must involve at least three of the listed Characteristics.

QUESTION: How many of the seven characteristics must the offeror have experience in to be Acceptable?

A4. Within the maximum five relevant contracts submitted, the Offeror should demonstrate a range of experience that includes all seven of the characteristics listed. The first paragraph of 2.5.1 Relevant Contracts states, "For a contract to be considered relevant, the

contract must have been substantially completed or completed after 30 July 1999, and must have involved at least three (3) of the characteristics listed below." The second paragraph of 2.5.2 Factor 1, Past Experience states in part, "Offerors shall identify a maximum of five (5) relevant contracts."

Examples:

Offeror A submits five relevant contracts, each of which contains the minimum three characteristics. If all five relevant contracts repeat the same three characteristics, this Offeror would be rated as Unacceptable under the terms of 2.5.2.1 Evaluation Standards.

Offeror B submits a single relevant contract that contains all seven characteristics. This Offeror would be rated as Acceptable under the terms of 2.5.2.1 Evaluation Standard.

Q5. Section 2.5.1 Relevant Contracts, Seven Characteristics

QUESTION: The seventh Characteristic listed in this section is, "Contracts involved construction on a U.S. Government Military Base." If an Offeror has not performed a relevant contract on a U.S. Military base they will be considered unacceptable in the evaluation?

A5. Yes. As stated in the response to the previous question, the Offeror's range of experience must include all of the seven characteristics to qualify for an acceptable rating.